Supplementary Table 1. Characteristics of included studies

First author, Year	Country	Study period	Study design	Study setting	Sample size	Participants	Funding	Intervention	Outcome Measured	Study outcomes
Kolner 1986[40]	United States	Sept. 1985- March 1986	CBA	University	Intervention: 12 Control: 27	Medical students	NR	• Online modules vs. no instruction	Search skills	Students using modules scored significantly higher on post-test than students not using modules (dependent t test: t=2.656; <i>p</i> =.01; df= 37)
McKibbon 1991[45] Companion report: Haynes 1993[37]	Canada	Sept 1, 1989 - June 29, 1990	RCT	Hospital	Intervention: 130 Control: 134	Clinical clerks, interns, residents, clinical scholars and fellows, and medical school faculty	U.S. National Library of Medicine and Ontario Ministry of Health	• Workshop + librarian feedback vs. workshop + no feedback	Search	Search performance for both intervention and control groups improved with average number of relevant references retrieved per search increasing from 4.5 to 7.4 (p<0.01) Secondary outcome • Satisfaction: 83% of the intervention felt their training was adequate compared to 69% of the control group
Klein 1994[39]	United States	Sept. 1989 - Sept. 1990	Cohort	Hospital	Intervention: 192	Physicians	NR	Literature searching as	Length of stay (LOS)	Length of stay [patients divided

				a service	and	into quartiles: 1-6,
		Comtral:		a SCI VICE		
		Control:		T **	hospital	7-14, 15=21, 21+
		10,409		• Literature	costs/char	days]
				searches	ges	If a search was
				offered to		done later the
				clinicians		LOS was more
				 Cases 		than double the
				associated		LOS of the cases
				with		with the earliest
				MEDLINE		searches (based on
				searches		quartile for
				compared to		search: F=4.7,
				those not		p=.004; based on
				receiving the		coded length of
				service		stay: F=10.53,
				501 1100		p<.001)
						<i>p</i> (1001)
						Secondary
						outcome
						• Cost
						Cases with early
						searches
						(conducted during
						the first half of
						hospitalization)
						had significantly
						lower expenses:
						Early searches had
						a mean cost ratio
						of \$1.46 US
						(mean cost ratio
						calculated by
						comparing actual
						hospital costs of
						192 test cases),
						later searches had
						a mean cost ratio
						of \$2.49 US

										(t=2.40, p=.003).
										Average savings for early searches: \$7,379 US (year not reported – estimated as US 1994 dollars)
Frasca 1992[34]	United States	Fall 1990	CBA	University	Intervention: 48 Control: 44	Medical students	NR	Instruction • Critical appraisal course including literature searching vs. no course	Search skills	Intervention group scored significantly higher on library skills: Intervention group: mean= 2.53 (SD 1.23) Control group: mean=1.05 (SD 0.89) p<0.001 Critical appraisal Intervention group: mean=7.38 (SD 2.44) Control group: mean=4.55 (SD 2.12) p<0.001
Erickson 1998[33]	United States	October 1, 1994 - June 30, 1995	RCT	Hospital	Intervention1: 11 Intervention2: 12 Control: 8	Residents	NR	• MEDLINE tutorial vs. no formal MEDLINE training	Search skills	No statistically significant difference in compliance with instructions, search duration, number of articles retrieved, recall rates, precision

										rates between groups Secondary outcome • Satisfaction: 100% of participants felt the tutorial improved their searching skills
Gruppen 2005[36]	United States	2001-2003	СВА	University	Intervention: 30 Control: 40	Medical students	Grant- U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Preventio n	• MEDLINE search training session in the context of evidence based medicine vs. no training	Search	Intervention Group Search Quality Score: Pre-intervention 59.8 (SD 25.5); Post-intervention 72.5 (SD 21.3) Control Group Pre-intervention: 60 (SD 21.1); Post-intervention: 59.3 (SD 16.9) Post-intervention Mean Difference: 13.2 (95% CI: 4.1,22.3) p<.05 Change: 13.4 (95% CI: 2.3, 24.5) p<.05
Van Moorsel 2005[48]	United States	2002	CBA	University	Intervention: 179 Control: 48	Allied health students	NR	Instruction • Health informatics	Search skills	Intervention group Mean post-test score significantly greater than mean

								course including literature searching instruction vs. no course		pre-test score (Paired t test analysis: t=14.868 p<.001)
Bradley 2002[26]	United States	Not stated	RCT	Hospital	Intervention: 5 Control: 5	Residents	Universit y of Michigan Medical School (Medical Education Scholars Program)	Librarian provided one-on-one search instruction and advice vs. no individualize d instruction	Search	Average search score (using a scale of 1 to 5 with 1 identifying the highest [best] score): Intervention group • pre-intervention: 3.0 • post-intervention: 3.3 • 6 months post-intervention: 2.0 Control group • pre-intervention: 3.5 • post-intervention: 3.4 • 6 months post-intervention: 3.8 Secondary outcome • Satisfaction: The control group expressed less satisfaction with search retrievals and demonstrated

										more errors in technique
Koufogiannakis 2005[41]	Canada	September 2003 - October 2003	RCT	University	Intervention: 6 groups (approx. 9 students/grp) Control: 12 groups (approx. 9 students/grp)	Medical and dental students	NR	Combination of instruction/lit erature searching as a service • Addition of a librarian to small Problem-Based Learning groups vs. no librarian support to small Problem-Based Learning groups ws. and librarian support to small Problem-Based Learning groups	Exam scores	There was no statistically significant difference found between intervention and control groups on final exam scores ($p=0.13$), the medical information portion of questions on the exam ($p=0.37$), or their final grade for the course ($p=0.08$).
Lechner 2007[42]	United States	2003-2004 academic year	NRCT	University	Intervention: 17 Control: 10	Students in occupational or physical therapy	NR	Instruction • Interactive, Web-based CINAHL tutorial vs. lecture led by librarian about the CINAHL database	Search skills	Students completing the tutorial (intervention B) demonstrated greater improvement in post-test scores than those attending the lecture (intervention A): Pre-test Grp A: 54.5%

										Grp B: 48.9 %
										Post-test Grp A: 62.6% Grp B: 67.0 % % change: Grp A: 8.1% Grap B: 18.1%
Cheng 2003[30] Companion report: Cheng 2004[31]	Hong Kong	Not stated	RCT	Hospital	Intervention: 257 Control: 287	Clinicians	NR	Instruction • 3 hour workshop in literature searching vs. no workshop	Problem solving	Grp B: 18.1% Intervention group 21 minutes (average time per session); 92.0% (success in problem solving) Control group 35.3 minutes (average time per session); 63.9% (success in problem solving) Statistically significant: p=0.000 Clinical question formulation: significant difference (p=0.000) in the number of components (PICO) in questions identified by the

										intervention (average 3.16 concepts) and the control group (average 2.49 concepts) Secondary outcome • Satisfaction: 96% of intervention group were satisfied with the workshop
Banks 2007[25]	United States	Aug 2004- Mar 2005	Cae-Control	Hospital	Intervention: 55 Control: 136	Residents	NR	Literature searching as a service • Information service offered by librarian during morning round vs. no information service	Length of hospital stay for patients (in days)	Intervention: median=3.00 days; mean=6.85 days (SD 10.18) Control: median=5.00 days; mean=7.22 (SD=5.67) p=0.0238 Secondary outcomes: • Relevance of answers provided: 43 (out of 105) house officers indicated that articles positively influenced patient management

Mulvaney 2008[46]	United States	August 2004 - March 2006	RCT	Hospital	Intervention: 108 Control: 118	Physicians, fellows, residents, and nurse	National Library of Medicine	Literature searching as a service	Impact on clinical decision-making	• Cost: Intervention Total charges per hospitalization: Mean=\$15,142.88 US, SD \$22,401.40 Control Mean=\$14,951.40 US, SD \$13,312.03 (no statistical significance) [2007 US dollars] Evidence provided by the service primarily impacted the use
						practitioners		• Librarians provided clinical informatics consult service vs. no service		of a new or different treatment (OR 8.19 95% CI 1.04 – 64.00)
Chen 2009[29]	United States	Academic year: 2005-6 to 2007-8	NRCT	University	Intervention A: 141 Intervention B: 147 Control: 141	Medical students	Partial funding: 1) AAMC Western Group on Education al Affairs education al research grant; 2)	• Information retrieval and management workshop vs. no workshop	Search skills	Number and completeness of citations Percentage without citations Intervention A: 9.3% Intervention B: 11.0% Control: 14.0% (p<.05)

							UCSF Biomedic al and Health Sciences Internship for High School			Percentage of citations with complete documentation: Intervention A: 64.9% Intervention B: 61.0% Control: 29.4% (p<.05)
										Frequency of citing primary articles: Intervention A: 24.7% Intervention B: 13.2% Control: 18.8% (<i>p</i> <.05)
										Secondary outcome: • Satisfaction: Students and facilitators rated librarian visit to be of limited usefulness for improving students' research/literature
Eldredge 2008[32]	United	August 2005 -	RCT	Public	Intervention:	Public health	National	Instruction	Frequency	skills (students: 2.79, SD 1.20; facilitators 2.79, [43]SD 1.12) No statistical

	States	February 2006		Health	42 Control: 33	professional (including administrator s, disease prevention specialists, epidemiologi sts, health educators, nurses, nutritionists, physicians, program directors, and social workers)	Library of Medicine	• Workshop on evidence based medicine including PubMed training vs. delayed training session (2 week delay period allows comparison of no training)	and sophisticat ion of participant s' practice- related questions	significance between intervention and control group for: 1) number of questions generated 2) sophistication of questions
McGowan 2008[43] Companion report: McGowan 2012[44]	Canada	October 2005 and April 2006	RCT	Hospital	Intervention: 1417 Control: 472	Physicians	Ontario Ministry of Health and Long Term Care Primary Health Care Transitio n Fund	Literature searching as a service • Rapid response to clinical questions by librarians during patient visit hours vs. no response	Time (t1) taken to respond to questions and 2) cost savings (time to locate informatio n, workload, and additional healthcare resource use)	Time: Intervention group Time: 13.68 minutes/question (95% CI, 13.38 to 13.98) Control group Time: 20.29 minutes/question (95% CI, 18.72 to 21.86) Secondary outcome • Satisfaction: 86% (n=62) rated their level of satisfaction with the service as having a positive

					impact on the care
					they provided to
					their patients
					Relevance of
					answers provided:
					83% assessed the
					service as
					providing relevant
					information to
					their
					questions in an
					appropriate time
					frame
					• Cost:
					1) Cost savings
					per question :
					\$10.58 (2006
					CDN)
					2) Cost avoidance
					savings (eg.
					ordering less
					imaging tests): \$0.97 (2006 CDN)
					3) Additional
					number of patients
					that can be seen
					per year = 24
					Average cost
					savings and cost
					avoidance for
					each
					question with the service estimated
					at \$11.55 CDN
					(year not reported
					– estimated as
					2008 CDN

										dollars)
Carlosk 2007[29]	United	Eshanoay	Cahart	University	Intervention	Nussina	NID	Instruction	Coord	Average salary cost for librarian to respond to a question=\$7.15 CDN (based on 15 minutes) Average salary cost for a physician to respond to question (based on 15 minutes) range=\$20.75-\$27.69 CDN (year not reported: estimated as 2008 CDN dollars)
Carlock 2007[28]	United States	February 2006-Spring 2007	Cohort	University	Intervention: 60 Control: 30	Nursing students	NR	Additional instruction on literature searching vs. no additional instruction	Search skills	Intervention group First performance assessment Range: 0%-100% Mode: 50% Second performance assessment Range: 40%-100% Mode: 100%
Gardois 2011[35]	United Kingdo m	October 16- 24, 2007; October 25 – December 27, 2007	RCT	Hospital	Intervention: 9 Control: 9	Residents and interns	Ricerca Sanitaria Finalizzat a - 2006	• Assisted search session related to a clinical scenario vs.	Search skills	Intervention group Median score: 73.6 points Interquartile range: 13.4 Control group Median score:

								non-assisted search session		50.4 Interquartile range: 17.1 Difference between median values in the results: 23.2 points (95% CI 4.8–33.2) in favour of the intervention group (<i>p</i> = 0.013)
Brettle 2013[27]	United Kingdo m	Started: March 2008 - Unclear when the study period concluded	RCT	University	Intervention: 18 Control: 16	Nursing students	NR	• Online inhouse information literacy tutorial vs. one hour face-to-face session	Search skills	Searching skills improved for online or face-to-face teaching of same materials (<i>p</i> =0.001) and remain unchanged 1 month later. There is no improvement or degradation of skills 1 month post-session for either method (<i>p</i> =0.216).
Aitken 2011[24]	Canada	January 26 - July 26, 2009	Cohort	Hospital	Intervention: 34 Control: 16	Residents and clinical clerks	NR	Combination of instruction/lit erature searching as a service • Librarian assigned to	Impact on clinical decision- making	Changing a treatment plan based on skills taught by librarian (intervention): 30 of 34 (88%) Changed a treatment plan

								clinical team vs. no librarian assigned to clinical team		based on the librarian mediated search support (control): 27 of 34 (79%)
Ilic 2012[38]	Australia	Not stated	RCT	Hospital	Intervention: 60 Control: 37	Medical students	NR	Instruction • 2-hour EBM literature searching skills workshop vs. no training	Search	No statistical mean difference in literature searching skills between the participants who attended the formal workshop and participants who did not (mean difference=0.007, p=0.99). Secondary outcome • Satisfaction: 70% of all participants agreed that workshop was effective in improving their literature searching skills
Rosenberg 1998[47]	United Kingdon	Not stated	RCT	University	Intervention: 38 Control: 44	Medical students	NR	• 3 hour training sessions vs. no training session	Search skills	Training improved intervention group performance (p<.0001) Secondary outcome • Satisfaction:

					96% (n=55) stating the sessions were
					extremely or very
					useful